2. a) Additional Protocol to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons which may be deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to have Indiscriminate Effects (Protocol IV, entitled Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons)

Vienna, 13 October 1995

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 July 1998, in accordance with article 2 of the Additional Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 30 July 1998, No. 22495.

STATUS: Parties: 108.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1380, p. 370; Doc. CCW/CONF.I/16 Part I).

Note: At its 8 plenary meeting on 13 October 1995, the Conference of the States Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects adopted pursuant to article 8.3 (b) of the Convention an additional Protocol entitled "Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons (Protocol IV)".

Participant	Consent to be bound(P), Succession(d)		Participant	Consent to be bound(P), Succession(d)	
Afghanistan	9 Aug	2017 P	Czech Republic	10 Aug	1998 P
Albania	28 Aug	2002 P	Denmark	30 Apr	1997 P
Algeria	6 May	2015 P	Dominican Republic	21 Jun	2010 P
Antigua and Barbuda	23 Aug	2010 P	Ecuador	16 Dec	2003 P
Argentina	21 Oct	1998 P	El Salvador	26 Jan	2000 P
Australia	22 Aug	1997 P	Estonia	20 Apr	2000 P
Austria	27 Jul	1998 P	Finland	11 Jan	1996 P
Bahrain	11 Mar	2016 P	France	30 Jun	1998 P
Bangladesh	6 Sep	2000 P	Gabon	22 Sep	2010 P
Belarus	13 Sep	2000 P	Georgia	14 Jul	2006 P
Belgium	10 Mar	1999 P	Germany	27 Jun	1997 P
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	21 Sep	2001 P	Greece	5 Aug	1997 P
Bosnia and Herzegovina	11 Oct	2001 P	Grenada	10 Dec	2014 P
Brazil	4 Oct	1999 P	Guatemala	30 Aug	2002 P
Bulgaria	3 Dec	1998 P	Guinea-Bissau	6 Aug	2008 P
Burkina Faso	26 Nov	2003 P	Holy See	22 Jul	1997 P
Cabo Verde	16 Sep	1997 P	Honduras	30 Oct	2003 P
Cambodia	25 Mar	1997 P	Hungary	30 Jan	1998 P
Cameroon	7 Dec	2006 P	Iceland	22 Aug	2008 P
Canada	5 Jan	1998 P	India	2 Sep	1999 P
Chile	15 Oct	2003 P	Iraq	24 Sep	2014 P
China	4 Nov	1998 P	Ireland	27 Mar	1997 P
Colombia	6 Mar	2000 P	Israel	30 Oct	2000 P
Costa Rica	17 Dec	1998 P	Italy	13 Jan	1999 P
Croatia	25 Apr	2002 P	Jamaica	25 Sep	2008 P
Cuba	14 Nov	2012 P	Japan	10 Jun	1997 P
Cyprus	22 Jul	2003 P	Kazakhstan	8 Jul	2009 P

Participant	Consent to be bound(P), Succession(d)		Participant	Consent to be bound(P), Succession(d)	
Kuwait	.24 May	2013 P	Portugal	12 Nov	2001 P
Latvia	.11 Mar	1998 P	Qatar	16 Nov	2009 P
Lesotho	.25 Apr	2016 P	Republic of Moldova	8 Sep	2000 P
Liberia	.16 Sep	2005 P	Romania	25 Aug	2003 P
Liechtenstein	.19 Nov	1997 P	Russian Federation	9 Sep	1999 P
Lithuania	. 3 Jun	1998 P	Saudi Arabia	7 Dec	2007 P
Luxembourg	. 5 Aug	1999 P	Serbia	12 Aug	2003 P
Madagascar	.14 Mar	2008 P	Seychelles	8 Jun	2000 P
Maldives	. 7 Sep	2000 P	Sierra Leone	30 Sep	2004 P
Mali	.24 Oct	2001 P	Slovakia	30 Nov	1999 P
Malta	.24 Sep	2004 P	Slovenia	3 Dec	2002 P
Mauritius	.24 Dec	2002 P	South Africa	26 Jun	1998 P
Mexico	.10 Mar	1998 P	Spain	19 Jan	1998 P
Mongolia	. 6 Apr	1999 P	Sri Lanka	24 Sep	2004 P
Montenegro ¹	.23 Oct	2006 d	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	6 Dec	2010 P
Morocco	.19 Mar	2002 P	Sweden	15 Jan	1997 P
Nauru	.12 Nov	2001 P	Switzerland	24 Mar	1998 P
Netherlands ²	.25 Mar	1999 P	Tajikistan	12 Oct	1999 P
New Zealand	. 8 Jan	1998 P	The former Yugoslav Republic of		
Nicaragua	. 5 Dec	2000 P	Macedonia		2007 P
Niger	.18 Sep	2007 P	Tunisia		2006 P
Norway	.20 Apr	1998 P	Turkey		2005 P
Pakistan	. 5 Dec	2000 P	Ukraine	28 May	2003 P
Panama	.26 Mar	1997 P	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	11 5 1	1000 B
Paraguay	. 3 Dec	2008 P	Northern Ireland		1999 P
Peru	. 3 Jul	1997 P	United States of America		2009 P
Philippines	.12 Jun	1997 P	Uruguay	_	1998 P
Poland	.23 Sep	2004 P	Uzbekistan	29 Sep	1997 P

Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon concent to be bound or succession.)

AUSTRALIA

"It is the understanding of the Government of Australia that the provisions of Protocol IV shall apply in all circumstances."

AUSTRIA

[Same declaration, $\it mutatis\ mutandis$, as the one made by Ireland.]

BELGIUM

It is the understanding of the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium that the provisions of Protocol IV which by their contents or nature may also be applied in peacetime, shall be observed at all times.

CANADA³

[Same declaration, $\it mutatis\ mutandis$, as the one made by Ireland.]

GERMANY

[Same declaration, $\it mutatis\ mutandis$, as the one made by Ireland.]

GREECE

[Same declaration, $\it mutatis\ mutandis$, as the one made by Ireland.]

IRELAND

"It is the understanding of Ireland that the provisions of the Additional Protocol which by their contents or

nature may also be applied in peacetime, shall be observed at all times.

ISRAEL

"With reference to the scope of application defined in Article 1 of the Convention, the Government of the State of Israel will apply the provisions of the Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons as well as the Convention and those annexed Protocols to which Israel has agreed to become bound, to all armed conflicts involving regular armed forces of States referred to in article 2 common to the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949, as well as to all armed conflicts referred to in Article 3 common to the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949.

ITALY

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Ireland.]

LIECHTENSTEIN

[Same declaration, *mutatis mutandis*, as the one made by Ireland.

NETHERLANDS

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that the provisions of Protocol IV which, given their content or nature, can also be applied in peacetime must be observed in all circumstances."

POLAND

The Republic of Poland believes that the provisions of the Additional Protocol should also be applied during peacetime.

SOUTH AFRICA

Same declaration, *mutatis mutandis*, as the one made by freland.

SWEDEN

"--Sweden intends to apply the Protocol to all types of armed conflict;

- -Sweden intends to pursue an international agreement by which the provisions of the Protocol shall

be applicable to all types of armed conflict;

-- Sweden has since long strived for explicit prohibition of the use of blinding laser which would risk causing permanent blindness to soldiers. Such an effect, in Sweden's view is contrary to the principle of international law prohibiting means and methods of warfare which cause unnecessary suffering.

SWITZERLAND

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Australia.]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN **IRELAND**

"In relation to Protocol IV, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that their application of its provisions will not be limited to the situations set out in Article 1 of the [1980] Convention."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"It is the understanding of the United States of America with respect to Article 2 that any decision by any military commander, military personnel, or any other person responsible for planning, authorizing or executing military action shall only be judged on the basis of that person's assessment of the information reasonably available to the person at the time the person planned, authorized or

executed the action under review, and shall not be judged on the basis of information that comes to light after the action under review was taken."

Notes:

- See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
 - For the Kingdom in Europe.

Subsequently, on 28 April 2014, the Government of The Netherlands informed the Secretary-General of the Territorial Application in respect of the Caribbean part of The Netherlands (Islands of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba).

In keeping with the depositary practice followed in similar cases, the Secretary-General proposed to receive the declaration for deposit in the absence of any objection on the part of the Contracting States, either to the deposit itself or to the procedure envisaged, within a period of 90 days from the date of its circulation (i.e. 21 July 1998). None of the Contracting Parties to the Protocol having notified the Secretary-General of an objection within the 90 days period, the declaration was deemed to have been accepted for deposit upon the expiration of the 90 day period in question, i.e. on 19 october 1998.